# Appendix G Freight Intercept Survey 

# COLORADO HIGHWAY 71 (Limon north to Colorado/ Nebraska state line) TRUCK FREIGHT DIVERSION FEASIBILITY STUDY 

## PREPARED FOR:

CDOT Region 4
10601 W. 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street
Greeley, CO 80634

## PREPARED BY:

WSP USA
1600 Broadway, Suite 1100
Denver, CO 80202


## CO 71/US 385 TRUCKER INTERCEPT SURVEY <br> Survey Methoddogy

The Freight Intercept Survey was initially developed as part of the US 385 Freight Study, with a plan to utilize the results for the US 385 and the CO 71 studies. The purpose of the survey is to provide a greater understanding of the freight truck drivers' decision making process as well as to gather information about their cargo. The survey presents information about the travel behavior and preferences of freight truck drivers along thel-25, CO 71, and US 385 corridors in eastern Colorado, southern Wyoming, western Nebraska, western Oklahoma, and northwestern Texas. It also obtained origin/ destination information as well as driver preferences related to potential improvements along each of the respective highway corridors.

The survey results were also used to validate the travel model assumptions, base, and results. The results will inform the CO 71 and US 385 project teams and enable CDOT and its consultant teams to make informed improvement recommendations.

The Freight Intercept Survey was developed by WSP and conducted by ZoZo Group. The survey will help CDOT better understand truck driver behavior, their route selection processes, and improvement preferences and will help guide potential CDOT improvements to $1-25, \mathrm{CO} 71$, and/ or US 385 . These improvements could potentially include constructing shoulders, mitigating steep grades, or fixing sharp curves.

The surveys were administered at truck stops in the following cities:

```
- Amarillo,TX;
```

- Brush, CO; . Lamar, CO;
- Burlington, CO; Limon, CO;
- Cheyenne, WY; P Pueblo, CO;
- Cheyenne Wells, CO; • Scottsbluff, NE;
- Douglas, WY; • Sidney, NE; and
- Dumas, TX; • Trinidad, CO.
- LaJunta, CO;

A total of 367 valid responses were received from a total 541 survey contacts. A survey response was deemed valid if the driver responded to all the questions. 205 respondents indicated that their respective route would use I-25, CO 71, and/ or US 385 for at least part of their travel. Surveyors wore shirts and coats that identified them as project team members. They were positioned at truck stops and other areas frequented by freight truck drivers. Surveys were administered using computer tablets. The results were aggregated by survey location (city) and by corridor traveled (I-25/ CO 71/ US 385).

The survey researched several aspects of freight travel throughout eastern Colorado, southern Wyoming, western Nebraska, western Oklahoma, and northwestern Texas. Survey respondents were queried on such issues as travel patterns, route determination, and general cargo information. To obtain more specific information about these issues, the survey also explores perceptions of comfort with various road conditions and maintenance concerns. The survey also investigates infrastructure preferences and those elements which may help influence driver decision-making processes.

## PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

Routing Decisions - Roadway conditions (31\%) and congestion/ travel time considerations (22\%) were cited as the two most influential routing decisions throughout the surveyed corridors. Corridor-specific responses mimic the broader findings, including on I-25 (29\%/22\%), CO 71 (38\%/20\%), and US 385 (36\%/23\%).

# CO 71/US 385 TRUCKER INTERCEPT SURVEY <br> Survey Methooldogy 

Preferred Routes - Both CO 71 and US 385 were identified as satisfactory freight routes if infrastructure improvements (i.e. wider shoulders, smooth pavements, additional lane, etc.) are constructed. There was no apparent preference for improvements along one corridor over another.

Routing Decisions - Origin and/ or destination (20\%), employer direction (20\%), and travel time reliability considerations ( $15 \%$ ) are the most prevalent routing determinants, per survey responders. Corridor-specific responses reiterate these findings, including on l-25 (18\%/20\%/15\%), CO 71 ( $26 \% / 22 \% / 14 \%$ ), and US 385 ( $21 \% / 21 \% / 16 \%$ ).

Roadway Features- Pavement condition was identified as the overwhelmingly most important roadway feature (31\%) throughout the surveyed corridors, besting trucker amenities, wide shoulders, passing lanes, roadway geometry, and adequate lighting. Responses from I-25 (32\%), CO 71 (36\%), and US 385 (33\%) reinforce this conclusion.

Infrastructure Preferences - While not the most important roadway feature to responding freight truck drivers, trucker amenities ( $21 \%$ ), wide shoulders ( $16 \%$ ), and passing lanes ( $15 \%$ ) ranked relatively evenly among survey corridors. Responses from I-25 ( $20 \% / 15 \% / 18 \%$ ), CO 71 ( $14 \% / 20 \% / 16 \%$ ), and US 385 ( $12 \% / 26 \% / 16 \%$ ) show slight variances per corridor.

## CONCLUSION

The findings derived from the Freight Intercept Survey confirm assumptions developed through the traffic modeling efforts for both CO 71 and US 385, including:

Time Travel Savings - The model developed for both the CO 71 and US 385 corridors assumed that freight truck drivers make routing decisions based on travel time savings, which was confirmed by the survey effort. This reinforces the theory that as traffic continues to become more congested through the I-25 corridor along the Front Range, CO 71 and US 385 will be, or remain, viable travel options for these drivers.

Capital Construction - There is not a clear preference for improvement to either CO 71 or US 385, but rather, a sentiment that both routes would be viable route options should they be improved. While the exact scope of those improvements was kept intentionally vague (i.e. wider shoulders, smooth pavement, additional lane, etc.), results from the survey showed that nearly $3 / 4$ of survey respondents would use either route.

Segmentation - Findings from the traffic modeling effort showed that the interdependence of the roadway network throughout eastern Colorado leant itself to the concept of segmentation, or the parceling of the roadway into viable pieces when reconstruction and/ or improvements are evaluated. This was discerned by, in part, evaluating the origin and destination information as many freight trucks do not travel the entire length of the roadway. Rather, they will either be stopping at locations along the corridor or connecting to another roadway (i.e. I-70, I-70, I-80, US 24, etc.).

Figure 1- Intercept Survey Map
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## Purpose and Objectives

- Gain an understanding of driver behavior, preferences, and cargos
- Validate the travel mode assumptions, base, and results
- Inform CO 71 and US 385 project teams
- Allow CDOT and its consultant teams to make information improvement recommendations


## SOUTH



NORTH


## Methodology

- Survey truck drivers throughout the eastern CO travel shed on CO 71 and US 385
- Include trucker decision points of Dumas, TX; Lamar, CO; Douglas, WY; and Scottsbluff, NE
- Surveyors wore shirt and coats that identify them as project team members
- Surveyors positioned in truck stops and other areas frequented by truck drivers
- Used computer tablets to administer the surveys
- 364 valid surveys collected across 14 locations
- Analysis was aggregated by survey location (city) and by corridor traveled (SH 71/US 385/I-25)

COLORADO Dransporitation

## Survey Locations

- Amarillo,TX
- Brush, CO
- Burlington, CO
- Cheyenne, WY
- Cheyenne Wells, CO
- Douglas, WY
- Dumas,TX
- La Junta, CO
- Lamar, CO
- Limon,CO
- Pueblo, CO
- Scottsbluff, NE
- Sidney, NE
- Trinidad, CO



## Question 1

## Where are you taking this survey?

## Question 1 - All Responses



## Question 2

Which route do you plan on taking today? (check all that apply)

## Question 2 - All Responses



## Question 2-Corridor Specific

## US 385



## CO 71




COLORADO Department of
Transportation Transportation
い (1)

## Question 3

## In which city did your load originate? (city, state)

## Question 3 - All Responses (Sample)

- La Junta, CO
- Fort Collins, CO
- Scottsbluff, NE
- Salt Lake City, UT
- Pasadena, TX
- Phoenix, AZ
- Bennett, CO
- Torrington, WY
- Grover, CO
- Joliet, IL
- Pine Ridge, SD
- Bowie City, OK
- Cincinnati, OH
- St. Louis, MO
- Dodge City, KS
- Denver, CO
- Atlanta, GA
- Chicago, IL
- Douglas, WY
- Delhi, LA
- Pine Ridge, SD
- Fairbanks, AK
- Dumas, TX
- Wiggins, CO
- Gering, NE
- Sidney, NE
- Rapid City, SD
- Laredo, TX
- Borger, TX
- Ft. Worth, TX
- Wichita, KS
- Amarillo, TX
- Roswell, NM
- Oklahoma City, OK
- Kansas City, KS
- North Las Vegas, NV
- Aurora, CO
- Ft. Morgan, CO
- Prineville, OR
- Sterling, CO
- Brush, CO
- Golden, CO
- Breckenridge, CO
- Albuquerque, NM
- Topeka, KS
- Gypsum, CO
- Cheyenne, WY
- Longmont, CO
- Evansville, IN
- Miles, MT
- Newcastle, WY


## Question 4

To which city(s) is your load destined? (city, state)

## Question 4 - All Responses (Sample)

- Fort Morgan, CO
- Scottsbluff, NE
- Colorado Springs, CO
- Colton, CA
- Aurora, CO
- Celburne, TX
- Denver, CO
- Grover, CO
- Loveland, CO
- Pueblo, CO
- La Junta, CO
- Grand Junction, CO
- Fowler, CO
- Douglas, WY
- Canon City, CO
- Hermiston, OR
- El Paso, TX
- Beaumont, TX
- Hurst, TX
- Billings, MT
- Commerce City, CO
- Ft. Collins, CO
- Greybulo, WY
- Pittsburgh, PA
- Deer Trail, CO
- Van Buren, AR
- Amarillo, TX
- Cheyenne, WY
- Plymouth,WI
- Los Angeles, CA
- San Diego, CA
- Tulia, TX
- Portland, OR
- Long Beach, CA
- Dallas, TX
- Brush, CO
- Vernon, CA
- Windsor, CO
- Lawrence, GA
- Plainview, TX
- Dalhart, TX
- Tyler, TX
- Chicago, IL
- Lexington, NE
- Council Bluffs, IA
- Reedsburg, WI
- Harrisburg, SD
- Tampa, FL
- Greeley, CO
- Houston, TX


## Question 5

What are you hauling today? (check all that apply)

## Question 5-All Responses

## 15 <br> COLORADO Department of Transportation Transportation



## Question 5 - Corridor Specific



## US 385



COLORADO

| Department of |
| :--- |
| Transportation |

## "



## Question 6

## How frequently do you travel this corridor?

## Question 6-All Responses



## Question 6 - Corridor Specific



US 385

Department of
Transportation
ין|י"



## Question 7

Why do you travel this highway? (check all that apply)

## Question 7 - Al/ Responses

## 21



## Question 7 - Corridor Specific



US 385



## Question 8

How is your route determined? (indicate your top three choices)

## Question 8 - All Responses



## Question 8 - Corridor Specific

## US 385

COLORADO




## Question 9

What road features are important to your choice of route?
(indicate your top two choices)

## Question 9 - All Responses



## Question 9 - Corridor Specific



CO 71


US 385


## Question 10

Why do you avoid certain highways (i.e. I-25, SH 71, US 385, US 83) (indicate your top three choices)

## Question 10-A/I Responses



## Question 10 - Corridor Specific



## Question 10A - All Responses (Road Conditions Detail)



## Question 10A - Corridor Specific (Road Conditions Detail)




US 385


## Question 10B - All Responses (Road Maintenance Detail)



## Question 10B - Corridor Specific (Road Maintenance Detail)



CO 7

Overgrown
Vegetation, 3, 19\%


US 385


## Question 11

All things being equal, what factors would make you change your route?
(indicate your top two choices)

## Question 11 - All Responses



## Question 11 - Corridor Specific




## US 385



## Question 12

If significant improvements (i.e. wider shoulders, smooth pavement, additional lane, etc.) were made to SH 71 between I-70 (Limon, CO) and I-80 (Kimball, NE), would you use that route?

## Question 12-All Responses



## Question 12 - Corridor Specific

## US 385




CO 71


## Question 13

If significant improvements (i.e. wider shoulders, smooth pavement, additional lane, etc.) were made to US 385 between US 40 (Cheyenne Wells,
CO) and I-80 (Julesburg, CO), would you use that route?

## Question 13 - Al/ Responses

## Question 13 - Corridor Specific



CO 7


## US 385



## Question 14

If significant improvements (i.e. wider shoulders, smooth pavement, additional lane, etc.) were made to both
SH 71 and US 385, which route would you prefer to use?

## Question 14-A/I Responses



## Question 14 - Corridor Specific




## Question 15

Is there anything else you want us to understand or know regarding NorthSouth travel in this region of the US?

## Question 15 - All Responses (Sample)

- "Frequent slowing from construction on l-25"
- "Build a bypass in and around Denver"
- "Keep up the signage"
- "More frequent maintenance, build roads, and highways to handle more allowable weight (similar to WY)"
- "Cleaner roads during the winter months"
- "Build more lights in dark areas of the highways"
- "SH 52, 71, and US 6 are some of the worst roads in the western US"
- "Truck stops on 71 and 385 would help truckers a lot"
- "Keep roads maintained and good striping"
- "I-25 between Denver and Fort Collins needs wider lanes"
- "Trucks need a bypass around Fort Collins; too much traffic and construction"
- "More passing lanes on US 287 would be safer"
- "Please maintain roads"
- "Wildlife management would be great"
- "Construction and detours mess with travel time"


## Thank you

## Questions?



## Appendix

## Questions 3, 4, and 15 Summaries

## Question 3

## In which city did your load originate? (city, state)

## Question 3 - All Responses (AII)

## 53

| CO | Breckenridge, CO | 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | COLORADO <br> Departmentof <br> Transportation | Bridgeport, NE | 1 |
|  | Brighton, CO | 2 |  |


| ORIGINATION | $\boldsymbol{\#}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ainsworth, NE | 1 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 1 |
| Amarillo, TX | 9 |
| American Canyon, CA | 1 |
| Amherst (incomplete) | 1 |
| Atlanta, GA | 4 |
| Aurora, CO | 1 |
| Bennett, CO | 1 |
| Billings, MT | 1 |
| Blairsville, PA | 1 |
| Boardman, OR | 1 |
| Boise, ID | 1 |
| Borger, TX | 1 |
| Bother, TX | 1 |
| Bowie City, OK | 1 |
| Breckenridge, CO | 1 |
| Bridgeport, NE | 1 |
| Brighton, CO | 2 |
| Brush, CO | 5 |
|  |  |


| ORIGINATION | $\boldsymbol{\#}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Burley, ID | 1 |
| Burlington, CO | 2 |
| California (incomplete) | 2 |
| Cactus, TX | 2 |
| Casper, WY | 1 |
| Center, CO | 1 |
| Charlotte (incomplete) | 1 |
| Chehalis, WA | 1 |
| Cheyenne, WY | 14 |
| Cheyenne Wells, CO | 2 |
| Chicago, IL | 6 |
| Cincinnati, OH | 2 |
| Clearfield, UT | 1 |
| Colorado | 2 |
| Cody, WY | 1 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 5 |
| Colton, CA | 1 |
| Commerce City, CO | 2 |
| Corpus Christi, TX | 1 |


| ORIGINATION | \# | ORIGINATION | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corrine, UT | 1 | Ferdinand, IN | 1 |
| Council Bluffs, IA | 1 | Florida | 2 |
| Crete, IA | 1 | Florence, AL | 1 |
| Dallas, TX | 11 | Fort Collins, CO | 4 |
| Delhi, LA | 1 | Fort Morgan, CO | 4 |
| Des Moines, IA | 2 | Fort Wayne, IN | 1 |
| Denver, CO | 24 | Fort Worth, TX | 3 |
| Dodge City, Ks | 3 | Fountain, CO | 1 |
| Douglas, WY | 5 | Fowler, co | 2 |
| Dumas, TX | 4 | Freeport, TX | 1 |
| Dundee, MI | 1 | Friona, TX | 1 |
| Dyer, TN | 1 | Gering, NE | 4 |
| East Prairie, MO | 1 | Golden, CO | 3 |
| Edwardsville, IL | 1 | Cordon, NE | 1 |
| Elkhart, IN | 1 | Grand (incomplete) | 1 |
| Elkhart, KS | 1 | Grand Junction, CO | 1 |
| Evansville, IN | 4 | Grand Rapids, MI | 1 |
| Fairbanks, AK | 1 | Greeley, CO | 5 |
| Fallon, MT | 1 | Greenfield, TN | 1 |

## Question 3 - All Responses (AII)

## 54

| CO | Joliet, IL | 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | COLOR A D O <br> Department of <br> Transportation | Jonesboro, AR | 1 |
|  | Julesburg, CO | 1 |  |
|  |  | Kansas City, KS | 2 |


| ORIGINATON | \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kansas City, MO | 1 |
| Kim, CO | 1 |
| La Junta, CO | 8 |
| La Salle, IL | 1 |
| Lamar, CO | 2 |
| Laredo, TX | 1 |
| Las Animas, CO | 2 |
| Las Vegas (incomplete) | 1 |
| Lenexa, KS | 1 |
| Lexington, KY | 1 |
| Lincoln, NE | 1 |
| Loma, CO | 1 |
| Longmont, CO | 2 |
| Louisiana (incomplete) | 1 |
| Lovell, WY | 1 |
| Manteno, IL | 1 |
| Menomonie, WI | 1 |
| Michigan (incomplete) | 1 |
| Midland, TX | 1 |


| ORIGNATION | \# | ORIGINATION | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Miles, MT | 1 | Otis (incomplete) | 1 |
| Milford, IA | 1 | Paris, IL | 2 |
| Minatare, NE | 1 | Pasadena, TX | 1 |
| Missouri (incomplete) | 3 | Phoenix, AZ | 1 |
| Mitchell, NE | 1 | Pine Ridge, SD | 1 |
| Modesto, CA | 1 | Plainfield, IL | 1 |
| Newcastle, WY | 1 | Plainview, TX | 1 |
| Noel, MO | 1 | Pomona, CA | 1 |
| N. Carolina (incomplete) | 1 | Portables, NM | 1 |
| No Response | 14 | Portland, OR | 2 |
| North Las Vegas, NV | 1 | Princeton, KY | 1 |
| North Platte, NE | 1 | Prineville, OR | 1 |
| Odessa, TX | 1 | Pueblo, CO | 6 |
| Ogden, UT | 1 | Rapid City, SD | 1 |
| Ohio (incomplete) | 1 | Raton, NM | 1 |
| Oklahoma City, OK | 2 | Richland, IL | 1 |
| Ontario, Canada | 1 | Rigby, ID | 1 |
| Oregon (incomplete) | 1 | Rochelle, IL | 1 |
| Oregon City, OR | 1 | Rogers, AR | 1 |

## Question 3 - All Responses (All)

| ORIGINATION | \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| Roswell, NM | 1 |
| Rupert, ID | 1 |
| Sacramento, CA | 1 |
| Salina, KS | 2 |
| Sallisaw, OK | 1 |
| Salt Lake City, UT | 9 |
| San Antonio, TX | 2 |
| Santa Fe, NM | 1 |
| Scott City, KS | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Scottsbluff, NE | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Seattle, WA | 9 |
| Sidney, NE | 1 |
| Sparks, NV | 1 |
| Spring Hill, TN | 4 |
| St. Louis, MO | 1 |
| Sterling, CO | 3 |
| Texas (incomplete) | Topeka, KS |
| Torrington, WY | 1 |


| ORIGINATAO | \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| Townsend, MT | 1 |
| Trivoli, MI | 1 |
| Ttt, TX (sic) | 1 |
| Tulsa, OK | 1 |
| Ulysses, KS | 1 |
| Varnan, MS | 1 |
| Visalia, CA | 1 |
| Walsenburg, CO | 1 |
| Westlake, LA | 1 |
| Wheatland, WY | 1 |
| White City (incomplete) | 1 |
| Wichita, KS | 1 |
| Wiggins, CO | 1 |
| Wisconsin (incomplete) | 1 |
| Youngstown, FL | 1 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Question 4 - All Responses (AII)

## 56

| DESTNATON | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Albuquerque, NM | 6 |
| Allentown, PA | 1 |
| Alliance, NE | 1 |
| Amarillo, TX | 2 |
| Atlanta, GA | 2 |
| Aurora, CO | 4 |
| Austin, IN | 1 |
| Beaumont, TX | 1 |
| Behring, NE (sic) | 1 |
| Billings, MT | 2 |
| Brush, CO | 2 |
| Burlington, CO | 3 |
| Calgary, Alberta | 1 |
| California (incomplete) | 1 |
| Canon City, CO | 1 |
| Canton, OH | 1 |
| Carol Stream, WA | 1 |
| Carthage, MO | 1 |
| Casper, WY | 3 |


| DESTINATION | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Castle Rock, CO | 1 |
| Cheyenne, WY | 10 |
| Chicago, IL | 3 |
| Clearfield, UT | 2 |
| Cleburne, TX | 1 |
| Coby (incomplete) | 1 |
| Collingston, UT | 1 |
| Colorado (incomplete) | 1 |
| Colorado Springs, CO | 7 |
| Colton, CA | 1 |
| Columbus, OH | 2 |
| Commerce City, CO | 2 |
| Council Bluffs, IA | 1 |
| Cresson, PA | 1 |
| Dalhart, TX | 2 |
| Dallas, TX | 4 |
| Danville, NC | 1 |
| Deer Trail, CO | 2 |
| Denver, CO | 35 |


| DESTNATON | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Des Moines, IA | 1 |
| Dix, NE | 1 |
| Douglas, WY | 6 |
| Eaton, CO | 2 |
| Edmonton, Alberta | 1 |
| El Paso, TX | 1 |
| Eldridge, IA | 1 |
| Eugene, OR | 2 |
| Farmington, NM | 1 |
| Fillmore, UT | 1 |
| Florida (incomplete) | 1 |
| Fond du Lac, WI | 1 |
| Fondle, CA (sic) | 1 |
| Forest Park, GA | 1 |
| Fort Collins, CO | 5 |
| Fort Morgan, CO | 2 |
| Fort Worth, TX | 1 |
| Fountain, CO | 1 |
| Fowler, CO | 2 |


| DESTNATON | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Gaffney, SC | 1 |
| Garland, TX | 1 |
| Gering, NE | 3 |
| Gillette, WY | 2 |
| Goddard, KS | 1 |
| Golden, CO | 1 |
| Grand Island, NE | 1 |
| Grand Junction, CO | 2 |
| Grandview, WA | 1 |
| Greeley, CO | 5 |
| Greybull, WY | 1 |
| Grisham, OR | 1 |
| Grove City, OH | 1 |
| Grover, CO | 1 |
| Harrisburg, SD | 1 |
| Havre, MT | 1 |
| Hayward, CA | 1 |
| Henderson, CO | 1 |
| Hermiston, OR | 1 |

## Question 4 - All Responses (AII)

## 57 <br> COLORADO <br> Department of <br> Transportation

| DESTNATON | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hillsdale, WY | 1 |
| Houston, TX | 4 |
| Hugo, CO | 1 |
| Hurst, TX | 1 |
| Illinois (incomplete) | 2 |
| Julesburg, CO | 1 |
| Kansas (incomplete) | 1 |
| Kansas City (sic) | 1 |
| Kennewick, WA | 1 |
| Kent, WA | 2 |
| Kentucky (incomplete) | 1 |
| Kim, CO | 2 |
| La Junta, CO | 2 |
| Lamar, CO | 3 |
| Laramie, WY | 1 |
| Las Animas, CO | 1 |
| Lawrence, GA | 1 |
| Lexington, NE | 3 |
| Limon, CO | 1 |


| DESTINATION | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lincoln, NE | 1 |
| Little Rock, AR | 1 |
| Livermore, CA | 1 |
| Long Beach, CA | 2 |
| Los Angeles, CA | 2 |
| Loveland, CO | 2 |
| Lyons (incomplete) | 1 |
| Lyons, GA | 1 |
| Madison, WI | 1 |
| Matheson (incomplete) | 1 |
| McCarran, NV | 1 |
| Mead, CO | 1 |
| Memphis, TN | 3 |
| Menominee, MI | 1 |
| Missouri (incomplete) | 1 |
| Moberly, MO | 1 |
| Montclair, CA | 1 |
| Monument, CO | 2 |
| Morrill (incomplete) | 1 |


| DESTNATON | \# | DESTNATON | \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mt. Sterling, IL | 1 | Pittsburg, CA | 1 |
| No Response | 20 | Plainview, TX | 1 |
| Nashville, TN | 1 | Plymouth, WI | 1 |
| New Castle, CO | 1 | Portland, OR | 5 |
| New Columbia, PA | 1 | Pueblo, CO | 11 |
| Norfolk, NE | 1 | Rapid City, SD | 1 |
| Nort (sic) | 1 | Reedsburg, WI | 1 |
| N. Carolina (incomplete) | 1 | Reno, NV | 1 |
| North Lake, TX | 1 | Riverside, CA | 1 |
| North Platte, NE | 2 | Robert, LA | 1 |
| Ogden, UT | 4 | Rocky Ford, CO | 1 |
| Ohio (incomplete) | 1 | Rome, GA | 1 |
| Omaha, NE | 1 | Rugby, ID | 1 |
| Oregon (incomplete) | 1 | Rye, CO | 1 |
| Orem, UT | 1 | Sacramento, CA | 3 |
| Pearland, TX | 1 | Salt Lake City, UT | 11 |
| Pennsylvania (incomplete) | 1 | San Diego, CA | 1 |
| Phoenix, AZ | 1 | Scottsbluff, NE | 7 |
| Pittsburgh (incomplete) | 1 | Seattle, WA | 1 |

## Question 4 - All Responses (AII)

| DESTINATION | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sidney, NE | 5 |
| Sioux City, IA | 1 |
| S. Carolina (incomplete) | 1 |
| Springfield (incomplete) | 1 |
| St. Louis, MO | 1 |
| St. Paul, MN | 1 |
| Sterling, CO | 4 |
| Stockton, CA | 2 |
| Sunnyside, WA | 1 |
| Tampa, FL | 1 |
| Texas (incomplete) | 1 |
| Thornton, CO | 1 |
| Tigard, OR | 1 |
| Toolele (sic) | 1 |
| Tracy, CA | 1 |
| Trinidad, CO | 1 |
| Ttt, CO (incomplete) | 1 |
| Tulia, TX | 1 |
| Tulsa, OK | 1 |


| DESTINATION | \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tyler, TX | 1 |
| Utah (incomplete) | 1 |
| Van Buren, AR | 1 |
| Various (incomplete) | 1 |
| Vernon, CA | 2 |
| Wamsutter, WY | 1 |
| Warner, OK | 1 |
| Washington (incomplete) | 2 |
| Waverly, NE | 1 |
| White City (incomplete) | 1 |
| Windsor, CO | 1 |
| Wisconsin (incomplete) | 1 |
| Woodrow, CO | 1 |
| Wray, CO | 1 |
| Wyoming (incomplete) | 2 |
| Yuba City, CA | 1 |
| Yuma, AZ |  |

## Question 15 - Al/ Responses (AlI)

## COMMENT

287 needs more passing lanes
76 is too bumpy
Above pan handle (incomplete)
Always road construction and dumb traffic on I-25
America has better roads than Kazakhstan
Averall (sic) roads are good
Avoid towns
Better pavement
Bypass in and around Denver, CO
Clean the weeds and better wider road
Cleaner roads during winter month
Colorado (incomplete)

## Colorado rocks!!!

Condition of the surface of the road
Congestion (incomplete)
Construction and detours mess up travel time

## Direct route (incomplete)

Education to the public
Fill potholes

## Question 15 - Al/ Responses (AII)

## COMMENT

Fill potholes
Fix the road
Fix the roads
Fix the roads
Get busy
Get people off cellphones!
Get those drug addict's (sic) and pot heads off the road
Good (incomplete)
Heavy truck traffic
Highway $71,52,6,14$ is what I travel on a daily bases (sic) $52,71,6$ are the worst. Breaking equipment on my truck that I am required to keep DOT approved it is my lively hood (sic) and Colorado has some of the worst roads I travel in the western united States (sic) that I travel

Hwy maintenance and expansion would be great
I haul a quad axle flatbed. Loading fully requires me to travel many additional miles to reach my destinations. Also, state highways to my destination travel through small towns and many traffic stop lights.

I obey all warning signs. Signs are not soon enough GPS systems don't update maps enough
I25 (sic) between fort Collins and Denver needs wider Ins (sic)
I70 (sic) east of Limon is too rough In new construction area
Improve flow through Denver metro
Improve snow removal
Increase speed limit or add lanes

## Question 15 - All Responses (AII)

## COMMENT

Inform drivers about cb
Just keep the road smooth
Keep roads maintained and good striping
Keep up the good work and keep up the signage!
Less congestion
Longer shoulder
Make the roads safer, and public truckstopos (sic) on 71, and 385 would aid truckers a lot
More frequent maintenance, build roads and highways to handle more allowable weight (similar to WY)
More lights in dark areas of hwy. More truck park and rest rooms
More passing lanes and higher speed
More passing lanes on US 287 would be safer
More truck parking
My only concerns are drivers are in to (sic) much hurry and could slow down under road conditions. Other than that, I believe that our and your road crew are doing their best to better our highways

Nation wide training of proper driving skills needed to drive around commercial vehicles would help
Needs more passing lanes!
No, but no tolls please
No. 520
Nothing beats 25 for trucks but that construction has made too much of a hazard because of lane size and blco

## Question 15 - Al/ Responses (AII)

## COMMENT

OWL CANYON ROAD NEEDS WIDENING AND SURFACE. TRUCKERS NEED A BYPASS AORUND FT. COLLINS. TOO MUCH TRAFFIC AND UNSAFE CONDITIONS IN FT. COLLINS. TOO MUCH CONSTRUCTION IN FT. COLLINS

## Passing/no passing zone signing

Please maintain roads, thank you
Pot holes need to be fixed in some areas of those hwys
Rest areas are important
Road conditions need to be improved in winter time
Roads could use some more passing lanes
Safer roads
Safety first
Seems you're aware, good luck getting it done
Selective enforcement of only trucks only works against the state and make us decline delivery to/though (sic) is better. How about truck only lanes to help cut down accidents

Stop handing CLS's like candy on Halloween
The corridor I travel all road construction was completed not like some other States where their projects are in progress from the summer and spring

The road conditions (incomplete)
The roads are bad and need to be fixed, striping needs
To (sic) much traffic
Too many vehicles and not enough roads
Traffic congestion

## Question 15 - Al/ Responses (Al/)

## COMMENT

Too many vehicles and not enough road
Traffic congestion
Unprofessional drivers in a hurry in less than ideal road conditions
Use concrete pavement instead of hotmix
Very few passing lanes. Increased travel time, compared to interstates
We honestly need more phone service towers. If we had to call emergency there is no service. We also need more wide shoulders and passing lanes because it could be dangerous sometimes

We need more communication truck drive on the cb for heads up on road condition Change
Weather (incomplete)
Weather, construction, and traffic and road quality all affect time, and elogs\his restrict movement
Where did the money from raising the license plates earmarked for highways?
Why can't the pavement meet up with the road going over a (sic) overpass then a big drop off
Widen road to 3 lanes all the way to Denver
Widen us287
Wildlife management on the highway would be great
Would bring life to Last Chance and Woodrow!!
Yada, yada, yada
You have all the extra money from cannabis. Why aren't you fixing the roads?

COLORADO
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You're doing a good job

